Cataract clinical care standard indicators: 3a-Evidence of a locally approved protocol to allocate appointments for patients considering cataract surgery



© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AlHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

Cataract clinical care standard indicators: 3a-Evidence of a locally approved protocol to allocate appointments for patients considering cataract surgery

Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type: Indicator Indicator type: Indicator

Short name: Indicator 3a- Evidence of a locally approved protocol to allocate appointments for

patients considering cataract surgery

METEOR identifier: 711410

Registration status: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, Standard 17/08/2021

Description: Evidence of a locally approved protocol that defines the process to allocate

appointments for patients considering cataract surgery.

Indicator set: Clinical care standard indicators: Cataract

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, Standard

27/09/2021

Collection and usage attributes

Computation description: The protocol should define the:

· Patient information required to be included in incoming referrals

· Criteria for accepting referrals for ophthalmology assessment

• Pathways for patients who do not meet the referral criteria, and

• Criteria for prioritising appointments for ophthalmology assessment.

Computation: A healthcare setting that has documented evidence of a locally approved protocol

that is implemented should record 'Yes.' Otherwise, the healthcare setting should

record 'No.'

Representational attributes

Representation class: Count

Data type: Real

Unit of measure: Service event

Format: Yes/No

Accountability attributes

Other issues caveats: Applicable settings: All public and private ophthalmology clinics and practices, and

public and private hospitals.

Source and reference attributes

Submitting organisation: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care