Delirium clinical care standard indicators: 1a-Evidence of local arrangements for cognitive screening of patients presenting to hospital with one or more key risk factors for delirium

Exported from METEOR (AIHW's Metadata Online Registry)

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AIHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

Delirium clinical care standard indicators: 1a-Evidence of local arrangements for cognitive screening of patients presenting to hospital with one or more key risk factors for delirium

Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type:	Indicator
Indicator type:	Indicator
Short name:	Indicator 1a-Evidence of local arrangements for cognitive screening of patients presenting to hospital with one or more key risk factors for delirium
METEOR identifier:	627955
Registration status:	Health, Standard 12/09/2016
Description:	Evidence of local arrangements for cognitive screening of patients presenting to hospital with one or more key risk factors for <u>delirium</u> .
Rationale:	Cognitive screening on presentation helps identify patients who should be assessed for delirium and is useful for monitoring delirium onset during a hospital stay (Clinical Epidemiology and Health Service Evaluation Unit 2006; O'Keeffe et al. 2005; Jitapunkul et al.1992). Patients who have cognitive impairment or who have had a recent change in behaviour or thinking may have delirium and need to be assessed for it (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence 2010).
Indicator set:	<u>Clinical care standard indicators: delirium</u> <u>Health</u> , Standard 12/09/2016
Outcome area:	Early screening <u>Health</u> , Standard 12/09/2016

Collection and usage attributes

Computation description:	Documented local arrangements for cognitive screening of patients presenting to hospital with one or more key risk factors for delirium. Key risk factors for delirium include (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence 2010):
	 age ≥ 65 years (≥ 45 years for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples (ACI 2014)) known cognitive impairment/dementia severe medical illness current hip fracture.
	Cognitive screening should be based on the use of a validated test. There are a range of validated cognitive function tests available (O'Keeffe et al. 2005). Examples include:
	 Abbreviated Mental Test Score (AMTS) (Hodkinson 1972) 4AT test: screening instrument for cognitive impairment and delirium (Bellelli et al. 2014) Standardised Mini-Mental State Examination (SMMSE) (Molloy & Standish 1997).
	Other tools may be more appropriate for people from culturally and linguistically diverse groups, such as the Rowland Universal Dementia Assessment Scale (RUDAS) (Storey et al. 2004) and the Kimberly Indigenous Cognitive Assessment (KICA) Tools (LoGiudice et al. 2006).
	The documentation may be in the form of:
	 clinical guidelines protocols a clinical pathway included as part of the patient's medical notes/record.
	The hospital should specify the test that it uses for cognitive screening in its documentation.
Computation:	Yes/No
Comments:	This indicator was sourced from the <i>Key principles for care of confused hospitalised older p</i> ersons (ACI 2014).
Representational att	ributes

Representational attributes

Representation class:	Count
Data type:	Real
Unit of measure:	Service event
Format:	Yes/No

Source and reference attributes

Submitting organisation: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

ACI (Agency for Clinical Innovation) 2014. Key principles for care of confused hospitalised older persons. Sydney: ACI.

Bellelli G et al. 2014. Validation of the 4AT, a new instrument for rapid delirium screening: a study in 234 hospitalised older people. Age and Ageing 43(4):496-502.

Clinical Epidemiology and Health Service Evaluation Unit 2006. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of delirium in older people. Melbourne: Victorian Government Department of Human Services on behalf of AHMAC. Viewed 5 May 2016, http://docs.health.vic.gov.au/docs/doc/A9F4D074829CD75ACA25785200120044/\$FILE/delirium-cpg.pdf.

Hodkinson HM 1972. Evaluation of a mental test score for assessment of mental impairment in the elderly. Age and ageing 1(4):233-8.

Jitapunkul S, Pillay I & Ebrahim S 1992. Delirium in newly admitted elderly patients: a prospective study. The Quarterly Journal of Medicine 83(300):307-14.

LoGiudice D et al. 2006. Kimberley Indigenous Cognitive Assessment tool (KICA): development of a cognitive assessment tool for older indigenous Australians. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA 18(2):269-80.

Molloy DW & Standish TI 1997. A guide to the Standardized Mini-Mental State Examination. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA 9 Suppl 1:87-94; Discussion 143-50.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence 2010. Delirium: diagnosis, prevention and management; Clinical guideline 103. London: NICE.

O'Keeffe ST, Mulkerrin EC, Nayeem K, Varughese M & Pillay I 2005. Use of serial Mini-Mental State Examinations to diagnose and monitor delirium in elderly hospital patients. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 53(5):867-70.

Storey JE, Rowland JT, Basic D, Conforti DA & Dickson HG 2004. The Rowland Universal Dementia Assessment Scale (RUDAS): a multicultural cognitive assessment scale. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA 16(1):13-31.