National Indigenous Reform Agreement: PI 09— Antenatal care, 2015, Quality Statement

Exported from METEOR (AIHW's Metadata Online Registry)

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY4.0 (CC BY4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AIHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

National Indigenous Reform Agreement: PI 09— Antenatal care, 2015, Quality Statement

Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type:	Data Quality Statement
METEOR identifier:	593463
Registration status:	Indigenous, Superseded 07/02/2017

Data quality

Data quality	
Data quality statement summary:	 The data used to calculate this indicator are from the National Perinatal Data Collection (NPDC), which is a national population-based cross-sectional data collection of pregnancy and childbirth. Data supplied for the NPDC consist of the Perinatal National Minimum Data Set (NMDS), as well as a series of additional data items. The Perinatal NMDS is an agreed set of standardised perinatal data elements for mandatory supply by states and territories to support national reporting. The Perinatal NMDS includes information on gestational age at first antenatal visit for births from July 2010. For births before July 2010, data collection is not consistent across jurisdictions. Caution should be used when interpreting results across time. In 2012, information about number of antenatal visits was available for New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory for the whole year and for Western Australia for births from July 2012. Information on number of antenatal visits was collected using non-standardised definitions and with variable response rates. Comparisons between jurisdictions should therefore be made with caution. Since 2005, the NPDC has included information on the Indigenous status of the mother in accordance with the Perinatal NMDS. In 2012, 0.2% of mothers who gave birth had missing information on Indigenous status. Since the last reporting cycle, the standard population used for calculating age-standardised rates for mothers was amended from the Australian female population who gave birth in each reporting period to the Australian female Estimated Resident Population as at 30 June 2001. Data back to the baseline reporting year (2007) have been revised accordingly. Remoteness data for 2012 are not directly comparable with remoteness data for previous years.
Institutional environment:	Data for this indicator were provided by the National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit (NPESU) on behalf of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). The AIHW is a major national agency set up by the Australian Government under the <i>Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Act 1987</i> to provide reliable, regular and relevant information and statistics on Australia's health and welfare. It is an independent corporate Commonwealth entity established in 1987, governed by a management Board, and accountable to the Australian Parliament through the Health portfolio. For further information about the AIHW, see the AIHW website www.aihw.gov.au. Data collected as part of the NPDC were supplied by state and territory health authorities to the AIHW. The state and territory health authorities receive these data from patient administrative and clinical records, with the information usually collected by midwives or other birth attendants. States and territories use these data for service planning, monitoring, and internal and public reporting.
Timeliness:	For the current reporting cycle, NPDC data are provided for 2012. The AIHW is working with jurisdictions on an ongoing basis to improve the capture, quality and timeliness of the data.

The AIHW provides a variety of products that draw upon the NPDC. Published products available on the AIHW website include:

- <u>Australia's mothers and babies</u>—annual reports on pregnancy and childbirth in Australia, including information about Indigenous mothers and babies
- <u>Perinatal data portal (SAS VA</u>)—enables users to access and manipulate data from the National Perinatal Data Collection
- <u>National Core Maternity Indicators reports and data portal (SAS VA)</u>
- <u>The health and welfare of Australia's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander</u> <u>peoples</u>.

Ad hoc data from the NPDC are also available on request (charges apply to recover costs).

Data for this indicator are published in a number of reports, including annually in the National Indigenous Reform Agreement information reports (which are available on the <u>Productivity Commission website</u>) and the <u>Australia's mothers and babies</u> report, and biennially in the <u>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health</u> <u>Performance Framework</u> reports and the <u>Overcoming Indigenous Disadvantage</u> reports.

Interpretability: Supporting information on the use and quality of the NPDC, including information on the quality of Indigenous status data and other data items used in the calculation of this indicator, are published annually in <u>Australia's mothers and babies</u>, which is available on the <u>AIHW website</u>. Comprehensive information on the quality of Perinatal NMDS data elements are published in <u>Perinatal National Minimum Data</u> Set compliance evaluation: 2006 to 2009 (Donnolley & Li 2012). Readers are advised to read caveat information to ensure appropriate interpretation of the performance indicator.

Metadata information for this indicator are published in the AIHW's online metadata repository, <u>METEOR</u>. Metadata information for the Perinatal NMDS are also published in METEOR and in the <u>National Health Data Dictionary</u>.

The NPDC comprises data items as specified in the Perinatal NMDS, plus additional items collected by the states and territories. The purpose of the Perinatal NMDS is to collect information about births for monitoring pregnancy, childbirth and the neonatal period for both the mother and baby.

The Perinatal NMDS is a specification for data collected about all births in Australia in hospitals, birth centres and the community. It includes information for all live births and stillbirths of at least 400 grams birthweight or at least 20 weeks gestation, except in Western Australia, where births are included if gestational age is at least 20 weeks, or if gestation is unknown, birthweight is at least 400 grams. The NMDS consists of data items relating to the mother—including demographic characteristics and factors relating to the pregnancy, labour and birth—and data items relating to the baby—including birth status, sex, gestational age at birth, birthweight, neonatal morbidity and fetal deaths.

The Perinatal NMDS includes information on gestational age at first antenatal visit for births from July 2010. From 2011, data on number of women who gave birth who attended at least one antenatal visit in the first trimester are available for all states and territories. For births before July 2010, data collection is not consistent across jurisdictions. Caution should be used when interpreting results across time.

A standard data item to collect the number of antenatal visits was introduced to the Perinatal NMDS from July 2013. In 2012, New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory reported on number of antenatal visits for the whole year and Western Australia supplied data for births from July 2012. The combined data for these jurisdictions are not generalisable to Australia as a whole. Information collected on number of antenatal visits differed among the jurisdictions. Comparisons between states and territories should therefore be made with caution.

While each jurisdiction has a unique form for collecting perinatal data on which the format of the Indigenous status question and recording categories varies slightly, all forms have included the NMDS item on Indigenous status of mother from 2005. The proportion of mothers who were Indigenous ranged from 3.5%–4.0% of all women who gave birth between 2002 and 2012. This varies by jurisdiction—for example, in 2012, the proportion of mothers who were Indigenous ranged from about 1% in Victoria to 36% in the Northern Territory.

Mothers for whom Indigenous status was not stated have been excluded from analyses for this indicator.

Analysis by state/territory is based on the usual residence of the mother.

Analysis excludes non-Australian residents, residents of external territories and where state/territory of usual residence was not stated.

Analyses for this indicator exclude records with missing data for gestation at first antenatal visit and number of antenatal visits.

Reporting by remoteness is in accordance with the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) for 2012 data and in accordance with the Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) for years prior to 2012.

Upon receipt of the NPDC data from the states and territories, the NPESU checks it for completeness, validity and logical errors. Potential errors are queried with jurisdictions, and corrections and resubmissions are made in response to these edit queries.

Errors may occur during the processing of data by the states and territories or by the NPESU. Processing errors prior to data supply may be found through the validation checks applied by the NPESU. Prior to publication, the data are referred back to the jurisdictions for review. The NPESU does not adjust the data to account for possible data errors or to correct for missing data.

Following the supply of data to NPESU, further minor updates may be made to the data held by the jurisdictions. Such differences in the data are unlikely to produce any detectable change to the indicator. In addition, the 2012 data supplied by Victoria for this indicator were not final data.

The numbers reported for this indicator may differ from those in reports published by the states and territories for the following reasons:

- data editing and subsequent updates of state/territory databases after the supply of data to NPESU
- data are reported by state/territory of usual residence rather than state/territory of birth.

Data presented by Indigenous status are influenced by the quality and completeness of Indigenous identification of mothers which may differ across jurisdictions. In 2012, 0.2% of mothers who gave birth had missing information about their Indigenous status. Jurisdictional differences in the level of missing data for Indigenous status ranged from less than 0.1% to 1.8%.

Data for 2012 on women who gave birth who attended at least one antenatal visit in the first trimester (defined as pregnancy durations up to and including 13 completed weeks gestational age) are available for all states and territories. However, the proportion of records with missing data for gestation at first antenatal visit varied across the jurisdictions: the Australian Capital Territory (0.02% of mothers who gave birth in 2012), the Northern Territory (0.7%), Queensland (0.9%), Victoria (1.0%), New South Wales (2.9%), South Australia (7.0%), Western Australia (8.1%) and Tasmania (20.3%).

Reporting against number of antenatal visits, which was not introduced as a Perinatal NMDS data item until July 2013, is variable. The level of missing data for this item varied across jurisdictions in 2012: Queensland (less than 1%), the Northern Territory (1%), the Australian Capital Territory (2%), New South Wales (3%), South Australia (5%), Western Australia (15% for births from July 2012 onwards) and Tasmania (20%). Victoria had not implemented data collection for the number of antenatal visits in 2012.

The number and timing of antenatal visits for women with missing data for these items may be different to those for whom data were reported.

There are also differences in how the jurisdictions defined antenatal visits and gestation at first antenatal visit. Thus, comparisons between jurisdictions for those data should be made with caution.

Coherence:

The Perinatal NMDS includes information on gestational age at first antenatal visit for births from July 2010. For births before July 2010, data on gestational age at first antenatal visit was not consistent across jurisdictions.

A standard data item to collect the number of antenatal visits was introduced to the Perinatal NMDS from July 2013. In 2012 and earlier years, information collected on the number of antenatal visits differed among the jurisdictions. Comparisons between states and territories and over time should therefore be interpreted with caution.

In 2012, New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory reported on number of antenatal visits for the whole year and Western Australia supplied data for births from July 2012. The combined data for the jurisdictions are not generalisable to Australia as a whole.

Changes in the level of missing information on antenatal care may affect the comparability of data over time, as the number and timing of antenatal visits for women with missing data may be distributed differently to those whose data have been reported.

An interim measure is presented for this indicator with regard to number of antenatal visits, pending development and implementation of standard data definitions in the Perinatal NMDS. Data presented in future years may not be consistent or comparable with data presented here. In 2011, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) updated the standard geographical framework from the ASGC to the ASGS. NPDC data by remoteness for 2007 to 2011 are based on the ASGC, while data for 2012 onwards are based on the ASGS. The AIHW considers the change to be a break in series when applied to remoteness data supplied for this indicator; therefore, remoteness data for 2012 are not directly comparable with remoteness data for previous years.

Since the last reporting cycle, the standard population used for calculating agestandardised rates for mothers was amended from the Australian female population who gave birth in each reporting period to the Australian female Estimated Resident Population as at 30 June 2001. Data back to the baseline reporting year (2007) have been revised accordingly.

Source and reference attributes

Reference documents:Donnolley N & Li Z 2012. Perinatal National Minimum Data Set compliance
evaluation 2006 to 2009. Perinatal statistics series no. 26. Cat. no. PER 54.
Sydney: AIHW National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit.

Relational attributes

Related metadata references:	Supersedes <u>National Indigenous Reform Agreement: PI 09-Antenatal care, 2014</u> <u>QS</u> <u>Indigenous</u> , Superseded 17/02/2016
	Has been superseded by <u>National Indigenous Reform Agreement: PI 09-Antenatal</u> <u>care, 2015-16; Quality Statement</u> <u>Indigenous</u> , Superseded 07/02/2018
Indicators linked to this Data Quality statement:	National Indigenous Reform Agreement: PI 09-Antenatal care, 2015 Indigenous, Superseded 18/11/2015