

National Disability Agreement: e.3-Proportion of potential population expressing unmet demand for disability support services, 2010 QS

Exported from METEOR (AIHW's Metadata Online Registry)

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AIHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

National Disability Agreement: e.3-Proportion of potential population expressing unmet demand for disability support services, 2010 QS

Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type:	Data Quality Statement
METEOR identifier:	410232
Registration status:	Community Services (retired) , Superseded 15/12/2011

Data quality

Data quality statement summary:	No summary statement provided.
Institutional environment:	<p>The AIHW is an Australian Government statutory authority accountable to Parliament and operates under the provisions of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Act 1987. The AIHW provides expert analysis of data on health, housing and community services. More information about the AIHW is available on the AIHW website.</p> <p>For information on the institutional environment of the ABS, including the legislative obligations of the ABS, please see ABS Institutional Environment.</p> <p>For general issues relating to the SDAC, refer to the SDAC Data Quality Statement.</p>
Timeliness:	<p>Data from SDAC 2003 was collected from June to November 2003. The confidentialised unit record file (CURF) was first released in December 2004, then reissued in July 2005.</p> <p>Measures of unmet need for assistance in 2003 might not reflect current levels. Data for SDAC 2009 is being collected from April to December 2009 and results are expected to be released in late 2010.</p> <p>For general issues relating to the SDAC, refer to the SDAC Data Quality Statement.</p>
Accessibility:	Performance indicator e.3–interim was produced from the ABS SDAC 2003 main unit record file, and therefore the results are not able to be reproduced from the SDAC 2003 confidentialised unit record file.
Interpretability:	<p>Information to assist in interpretation of the performance indicator is contained in the NDA performance indicator glossary, which accompanies these Data Quality Statements.</p> <p>Notes under 'relevance' should be taken into account when interpreting this indicator.</p> <p>See also SDAC Data Quality Statement.</p>
Relevance:	<p>Demand (expressed need) is a subset of total need. This interim indicator is a measure of unmet need, which is a broader measure than unmet demand. While information about need for more assistance is available from SDAC 2003, it is not possible to separate this into need for formal or informal assistance. The interim measure includes need for both formal and informal assistance.</p> <p>Although SDAC includes people residing in cared accommodation, information about need for more assistance is not collected for these people. People residing in cared accommodation are therefore excluded from this indicator.</p> <p>The 2009 SDAC contains new questions on the need for more formal assistance. Indicator (e.3) will be further developed when SDAC 2009 data are available.</p>

Accuracy: The SDAC 2003 had a response rate of 89.3%. Non-response can introduce a bias to estimates in that non-respondents may have different characteristics from those people who responded to the survey. Effects were made to reduce the level of non-response bias through careful survey design and estimation procedures. Being a sample survey, estimates from the SDAC 2003 are subject to sampling variability. Measures of the sampling variability, the relative standard error (RSE) percent, are supplied separately for this performance indicator. Only estimates with RSEs of 25% or less are considered sufficiently reliable for most purposes. Estimates with RSEs between 25% and 50% are subject to high sampling variability and should be used with caution. Estimates with RSEs greater than 50% are considered too unreliable for general use. In particular, the estimates disaggregated at the state by age group level have high sampling variability and should be used with caution. For general issues relating to the SDAC, refer to the SDAC Data Quality Statement.

Coherence: For general issues relating to the SDAC, refer to the SDAC Data Quality Statement.

Data products

Implementation start date: 20/07/2010

Source and reference attributes

Submitting organisation: AIHW

Relational attributes

Indicators linked to this Data Quality statement: [National Disability Agreement: e\(3 - interim\)-Proportion of potential population expressing unmet demand for disability support services \(e.3-interim\), 2010 Community Services \(retired\), Superseded 15/12/2011](#)
[National Disability Agreement: e\(3\)-Proportion of potential population expressing unmet demand for disability support services, 2010 Community Services \(retired\), Superseded 15/12/2011](#)