

National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2010 – Data Quality Statement

Exported from METEOR (AIHW's Metadata Online Registry)

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AIHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2010 – Data Quality Statement

Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type:	Data Quality Statement
Synonymous names:	Data Quality Statement: 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
METEOR identifier:	511160
Registration status:	AIHW Data Quality Statements , Superseded 25/11/2014

Data quality

Data quality statement summary:

- The National Drug Strategy Household Survey (NDSHS) provides three-yearly estimates of the proportion of the population aged 12 years and older using tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs. The Survey also captures information about drug-related attitudes, perceptions and support for government policy.
- It is known from past studies of alcohol and tobacco consumption that respondents tend to underestimate actual consumption levels.
- Estimates of illicit drug use and related behaviours are also likely to be underestimates of actual practice.
- Reported findings are based on self-reported data and are not empirically verified by blood tests or other screening measures.
- The response rate for the 2010 survey was 50.6%. Given the nature of the topics in this survey, some non-response bias is expected, but this bias has not been measured.
- Both sampling and non-sampling errors should be considered when interpreting results.

Institutional environment:

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) is a major national agency set up by the Australian Government under the [Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Act 1987](#) to provide reliable, regular and relevant information and statistics on Australia's health and welfare. It is an independent statutory authority established in 1987, governed by a [management Board](#), and accountable to the Australian Parliament through the Health and Ageing portfolio.

The AIHW aims to improve the health and wellbeing of Australians through better health and welfare information and statistics. It collects and reports information on a wide range of topics and issues, ranging from health and welfare expenditure, hospitals, disease and injury, and mental health, to ageing, homelessness, disability and child protection.

The Institute also plays a role in developing and maintaining national metadata standards. This work contributes to improving the quality and consistency of national health and welfare statistics. The Institute works closely with governments and non-government organisations to achieve greater adherence to these standards in administrative data collections to promote national consistency and comparability of data and reporting.

One of the main functions of the AIHW is to work with the states and territories to improve the quality of administrative data and, where possible, to compile national datasets based on data from each jurisdiction, to analyse these datasets and disseminate information and statistics.

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Act 1987, in conjunction with compliance to the Privacy Act 1988, (Cth) ensures that the data collections managed by the AIHW are kept securely and under the strictest conditions with respect to privacy and confidentiality.

For further information see the AIHW website www.aihw.gov.au

The NDSHS has been analysed and managed by the AIHW since 1998 and 2001 (respectively).

Timeliness: The NDSHS is conducted approximately every three years over a three-four month period. 2010 data were collected between late-April and mid-September 2010.

A preliminary data set was received by the AIHW in late-October 2010 and initial data checks were completed in late-November 2010.

Initial results were planned to be released in May 2011.

Results from the 2010 NDSHS were released on 27 July 2011.

Accessibility: Published results from the 2010 NDSHS are available on the AIHW website see [2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey report](#).

Users can request data not available online or in reports via the Communications, Media and Marketing Unit on (02) 6244 1032 or via email to info@aihw.gov.au. Requests that take longer than half an hour to compile are charged for on a cost-recovery basis.

A confidentialised unit record file is available for 3rd party analysis through the [Australian Data Archive](#). Access to the master unit record file may be requested through the [AIHW Ethics Committee](#).

Interpretability: Information to aid in interpretation of 2010 NDSHS results may be found in Chapter 14 of the 2010 NDSHS report titled 'Explanatory Notes'.

In addition, the 2010 Technical Report, code book and other supporting documentation are available through the [Australian Data Archive](#) website.

Relevance:Scope and coverage

The NDSHS collects self-reported information on tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use and attitudes from persons aged 12 years and over.

Excluded from sampling were non-private dwellings (hotels, motels, boarding houses, etc.) and institutional settings (hospitals, nursing homes, other clinical settings such as drug and alcohol rehabilitation centres, prisons, military establishments and university halls of residence). Homeless persons were also excluded as well as the territories of Jervis Bay, Christmas Island and Cocos Island.

The exclusion of people from non-private dwellings and institutional settings, and the difficulty in reaching marginalised people are likely to have affected estimates.

The 2010 NDSHS was designed to provide reliable estimates at the national level. The survey was not specifically designed to obtain reliable national estimates for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, as there was no target sample size for Indigenous Australians. In 2010, the sample size for Indigenous Australians was smaller than anticipated based on population estimates, and so estimates based on this population group should be interpreted with caution.

Reference period

The fieldwork was conducted from 29 April to 14 September 2010. Respondents to the survey were asked questions relating to their beliefs and experiences covering differing time periods, predominantly over the previous 12 months.

Geographic detail

In 2010, data were coded to the census collector's district level. Data are generally published at the national level with a selection of data published at the State/Territory and Remoteness Area levels.

Statistical standards

Data on tobacco and alcohol consumption were collected in accordance with World Health Organization standards and alcohol risk data were reported in accordance with the current 2009 National Health and Medical Research Council's 'Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol'.

Australian and New Zealand Standard Classification of Occupations (ANZSCO) and Australian and New Zealand Standard Industry Classification (ANZSIC) codes were used as the code-frame for questions relating to occupation and industry.

Type of estimates available

Unadjusted estimates of drug use prevalence, attitudes and beliefs are most commonly reported. In addition, some population estimates and age-standardised data are available for some aspects of the collection. Time series data are also presented for most estimates in the 2010 NDSHS report.

Accuracy:Perceptions of behaviour

It is known from past studies of alcohol and tobacco consumption that respondents tend to underestimate actual consumption levels (Stockwell et al. 2004). There are no equivalent data on the tendencies for under- or over-reporting of actual illicit drug use.

However, illicit drug users, by definition, have committed illegal acts. They are, in part, marginalised and difficult to reach. Accordingly, estimates of illicit drug use and related behaviours are likely to be underestimates of actual practice.

Sample design

The sample was stratified by region (15 strata in total — capital city and rest of state for each state and territory, with the exception of the Australian Capital Territory, which operated as one stratum). To produce reliable estimates for the smaller states and territories, sample sizes were boosted in Tasmania, the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory. An additional 1,200 booster sample was also allocated to Queensland.

The over-sampling of lesser populated states and territories produced a sample that was not proportional to the state/territory distribution of the Australian

population aged 12 years or older. Weighting was applied to adjust for imbalances arising from execution of the sampling and differential response rates, and to ensure that the results relate to the Australian population.

Sampling error

The measure used to indicate reliability of individual estimates reported in 2010 was the relative standard error (RSE). Only estimates with RSEs of less than 25% are considered sufficiently reliable for most purposes. Results subject to RSEs of between 25% and 50% should be considered with caution and those with relative standard errors greater than 50% should be considered as unreliable for most practical purposes.

Non-sampling error

In addition to sampling errors, the estimates are subject to non-sampling errors. These can arise from errors in reporting of responses (for example, failure of respondents' memories, incorrect completion of the survey form), the unwillingness of respondents to reveal their true responses and the higher levels of non-response from certain subgroups of the population.

Reported findings are based on self-reported data and not empirically verified by blood tests or other screening measures.

Response rates and contact rates

Overall, contact was made with 52,690 in-scope households, of which 26,648 questionnaires were categorised as being complete and useable, representing a response rate for the 2010 survey of 50.6%, slightly lower than the drop and collect component of the 2007 survey (51.6%).

Some survey respondents did not answer all questions, either because they were unable or unwilling to provide a response. The survey responses for these people were retained in the sample, and the missing values were recorded as not answered. No attempt was made to deduce or impute these missing values.

A low response rate does not necessarily mean that the results are biased. As long as the non-respondents are not systematically different in terms of how they would have answered the questions, there is no bias. Given the nature of the topics in this survey, some non-response bias is expected. If non-response bias in the NDSHS is to be eliminated as far as possible, there would need to be additional work conducted to investigate the demographic profile of the non-respondents and the answers they may have given had they chosen to respond.

Indigenous Data

The survey was not specifically designed to obtain reliable national estimates for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, as there was no target sample size for Indigenous Australians. In 2010, the sample size for Indigenous Australians was smaller than anticipated based on population estimates, and so estimates based on this population group should be interpreted with caution.

For more information on the limitations of the survey results see Chapter 14 of the 2010 NDSHS report 'Explanatory notes'.

Coherence:	<p>Surveys in this series commenced in 1985. Over time, modifications have been made to the survey's methodology and questionnaire design. The 2010 survey differs from previous versions of the survey in several respects, relating to data collection methodology and some of the questions asked.</p> <p><u>Methodology</u></p> <p>The 2010 survey was the first to exclusively use the drop and collect method. In 2007 and 2004, a combination of computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI) and drop and collect methods were used, and in earlier waves, personal interviews were also conducted.</p> <p>The change in methodology in 2010 does have some impact on time series data, and users should exercise some degree of caution when comparing data over time.</p> <p>Fieldwork was conducted between April and September 2010, slightly earlier than in previous waves.</p> <p><u>Sample</u></p> <p>To produce reliable estimates for the smaller states and territories, sample sizes were boosted in Tasmania, the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory. An additional 1,200 booster sample was also allocated to Queensland.</p> <p>In 2010, to improve the geographic coverage of the survey, interviewers were flown to Very remote areas selected in the sample. In previous surveys, some Very remote areas that were initially selected in the sample would have been deemed inaccessible and not included in the final sample.</p> <p><u>Questionnaire</u></p> <p>The 2010 questionnaire was modelled on the 2007 version, to maintain maximum comparability. However, some refinements were made to ensure the questions remained relevant and useful. For more information on questionnaire changes in 2010 see Chapter 14 of the 2010 NDSHS report 'Explanatory notes'.</p> <p><u>Comparison with other collections</u></p> <p>Comparisons of data from previous waves of the NDSHS, the National Health Survey and the Australian School Student's Alcohol and other Drug Survey show variations in estimates. Differences in scope, collection methodology and design may account for this variation and comparisons between collections should be made with caution.</p>
-------------------	---

Data products

Implementation start date: 25/07/2011

Source and reference attributes

Submitting organisation: Drug Surveys and Services Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.

Steward: [Australian Institute of Health and Welfare](#)

Relational attributes

Related metadata references: Has been superseded by [National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2013 – Data Quality Statement](#)
[AIHW Data Quality Statements](#), Superseded 28/09/2017